IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

SUMMONS FOR JUDGMENT NO.730 OF 2004
IN
SUMMARY SUIT NO.2358 OF 2004

Update Marketings Pvt.Ltd. ....Plaintiff
V/s.
Ramnath International Const.Pvt.Ltd. ....Defendant

WITH

SUMMONS FOR JUDGMENT NO.731 OF 2004
IN
SUMMARY SUIT NO.2394 OF 2004

Nova Marketings Pvt.Ltd. ....Plaintiff
V/s.
Ramnath International Const.Pvt.Ltd. ....Defendant

WITH

SUMMONS FOR JUDGMENT NO.732 OF 2004
IN
SUMMARY SUIT NO.2336 OF 2004

Golden Legend Leasing & Finance Ltd. ....Plaintiff
V/s.
Ramnath International Const.Pvt.Ltd. ....Defendant

WITH

SUMMONS FOR JUDGMENT NO.733 OF 2004
IN
SUMMARY SUIT NO.2357 OF 2004

Prasidh Commercial Services Pvt.Ltd. ....Plaintiff
V/s.
Ramnath International Const.Pvt.Ltd. ....Defendant

WITH

SUMMONS FOR JUDGMENT NO.738 OF 2004
IN
SUMMARY SUIT NO.2224 OF 2004

Maharashtra Ayurved Bhandar ....Plaintiff
V/s.
Ramnath International Const.Pvt.Ltd. ....Defendant

Ms.Rita Yadav i/b Mr.Anil Agarwal for the Plaintiffs.

Mr.Kamal khata i/b Vimadalal & Co. for the Defendants.

CORAM : S.J. VAZIFDAR, J.
DATED : 4TH FEBRUARY, 2008.

P.C. :

1. Mrs.Yadav, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Plaintiffs had invited my attention to an order 10.7.2007 in a group of matters first of which is Summons for Judgment No.600 of 2004 in Summary Suit No.1976 of 2004. She submits that the facts and circumstances of the above cases are identical to the facts in the Summary Suits in which the said order dated 10.7.2007 were passed. In view thereof, Ms.Yadav submits that a similar order ought to be passed in the above Summons for Judgments as well.

2. The submission is well founded. Mr.Khata, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

Defendants does not deny the fact that the above matters are similar to the matters in which the said order dated 10.7.2007 have been passed.

3. In the circumstances, the above Summons for Judgments in each of the above matters are made absolute and the suits are decreed as prayed.

Refund as per rules.